Sample Analytic Paper Rubric

1 = Missing or Thoroughly Inadequate2 = Developing3 = Meets Expectations4 = Exceeds ExpectationsImage: missing something optional

Category	Criteria tu prophyse Note: Italicized criteria are optional or "as needed"	Student Score	Teacher Score
Careful Reading	Correctly summarizes texts as needed Highlights/focuses on main ideas of focal texts		
Thesis	 Thesis is clear and well defined: the reader can easily identify and understand the central argument Thesis makes a contestable claim with which others might disagree Thesis connects to the framing questions by either (indicate with a check): addressing the framing questions directly, using evidence from the text to support the thesis; or discussing and evaluating the texts themselves with regard to the framing questions Scope is appropriate given the space allotted: the central argument can be adequatedly explored in about 1500 words 		
Analytic Argument	 Makes an original argument: does not merely summarize others' arguments or positions, but instead does one of the following (indicate which with a check): <i>evaluates relationship(s) among arguments, texts, or authors</i> <i>evaluates arguments'/texts' structure and formulation</i> <i>evaluates arguments/texts with respect to their broader context or implications</i> Is internally cohesive: every part of the paper relates to and advances the central argument Is complete and coherent: claims are fully developed (not conclusory) and do not raise more questions than they answer <i>Is honest, when necessary, about student's own background assumptions, driving values, or other presuppositions</i> 		

		 -
Use of Evidence	Supports thesis with strong evidence drawn from text(s): specific examples, data, quotations, paraphrases, etc. to back up claims when needed	
	Quotations and citations are used to <i>justify</i> claims, not just restate them	
	Supports thesis with strong evidence drawn from other "texts": (written, oral, or visual), interviews, personal experiences, testimonials, etc.	
Synthesis and Application	Places texts in dialogue with one another, rather than solely treating them sequentially or separately	
7.pp.//dian	Draws useful meaning from the text: explains (possible) implications for one's own thinking and/or actions, and/or for others' actions (e.g. educators, policymakers, researchers, etc.)	
Organization and Clarity	Argument is structured logically: each paragraph or section builds upon the previous to support the thesis	
	Argument is easy to follow thanks to introductory roadmap, clear transitions, topic sentences, indication of intermediate conclusions, and other signals used in academic writing	
	Writing is clear and easy to read and understand: sounds like someone talking (although not colloquially) rather than someone pompously or obscurely opining	
Basics	Yes / No First page of paper includes paper title, student's name, date, and framing question(s); no cover page	
	Yes / No Addresses 3-4 of the assigned texts (likely 2 texts carefully and 1-2 texts more superficially)	
	Yes / No Stays within the guidelines of the assignment: about 1500 words, double-spaced, 1" margins and standard font	
	Yes / No Few or no errors in usage, grammar, or mechanics (spelling, punctuation, capitalization, etc.)	
	Yes / No Citations and bibliography follow a standard format (Chicago, MLA, whatever)	
	Yes / No Submission is timely: 8 p.m. the night before class to class tab on iSite and via e-mail to all class participants	
OVERALL SCORE (1-4)	This is a holistic score that reflects what you earn above. It is not an exact average, however, since this rubric is quite expansive in its criteria and expectations.	

Comments: